Herron School of Art and Design Annual Report for 2007 - 2008

| What outcomes do we seek? | What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards) | How will students learn these things (in or out of class)? | What evidence can we provide to demonstrate what students know and can do? | What are the assessment findings? | What improvements have been made based on assessment findings? | What are the results of improvement s made? |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| I. Core | 1. Write clearly | Oral reports | Foundation | The assessment of | For Herron | A more |
| Communicatio | and effectively. | and | F101 capstone | Herron's Themed | Themed Learning | positive |
| n and | (N) | presentations | presentations. | Learning Community | Community | environment |
| Quantitative | • | , group | | (TLC) was largely | (TLC), A new | was created |
| Skills: students | Incorporate art | critiques, | The sophomore | positive. Faculty involved | text, "Seeing and | for students |
| demonstrate | and design | individual | advancement | in the TLC felt there | Writing," has | when they |
| the ability to | vocabulary and | consultations | review includes | needed to be a greater | been adopted | were given |
| communicate | concepts in | , research, | a verbal | link between the English | which will | opportunities |
| observations | writing. | journal | presentation and | Composition class and | improve the link | to improve |
| and analyses in | | writing, | submission of a | foundations courses. | between courses. | during the |
| a variety of | 2. Speak clearly | work | written artist's | | Also, an | remainder of |
| media. | and effectively in | mapping and | statement from | Improved Visual | additional class | the semester. |
| (PUL 1) | groups and one- | other | each | Communication Design | will be added to | Students and |
| | to-one. (N) | processes in | participant. | (VCD) rubric for | the TLC, 2D- | faculty met |
| | • | visual | | advancement review, first | Design. | more |
| | Incorporate art | design, | Comparisons | used in 2003-04, has been | | frequently |
| | and design | written | made between | further developed for | Visual | outside of |
| | vocabulary and | papers, | fine arts | even greater consistency | Communication | class. |
| | concepts in | development | students' | in evaluating student | Design (VCD) | |
| | speaking. | of artists' | written artists' | readiness to advance into | faculty developed | Advancement |
| | | statements | statements for | the major. | performance | review |
| | 3. Comprehend, | (philosophy | the sophomore | | rubrics to use | presentations |
| | interpret, and | of personal | advancement | Rubrics and teaching | with sophomore | by VCD |

| analyze written | work), | reviews and the | portfolios used in Art | advancement | students were |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| text. (N) | teaching | final artists' | Education demonstrate | review. Gave to | more |
| text. (IV) | Saturday | | communication PUL | | |
| 4. Formulate | School, | statements and | achievement. | students at start | organized, and students were |
| | , | weekly written | achievement. | of semester to use | |
| attitudes about art, | feedback | assignments | WCD (1) | in preparing for | better |
| and defend views | from | submitted in the | VCD students continue to | advancement | prepared. |
| effectively and | sophomore | capstone | have some difficulty | review portfolio | They |
| rationally. (N) | advancement | courses, | writing. | presentations. | integrated |
| | review. | follow technical | | | their |
| 5. Perform | | manuals and | December 2007 + May | Each VCD | knowledge in |
| quantitative | | safety | 2008 Fine Arts | student placed on | presentations, |
| functions and | | procedures, | Sophomore | probation in | utilized |
| analyses relevant | | demonstrate | Advancement Review: | sophomore | appropriate |
| to art and design. | | math skills in | Total Advanced = 97 | advancement | terminology, |
| | | proportioning | Advanced (86%); | review was | and cited their |
| Effectively | | and scaling | Total Probation = 13 | assigned a faculty | specific |
| utilize | | imagery and | | mentor and given | learning |
| information | | other art and | (11%); Total Denied = | written feedback | experiences. |
| technology for | | design contexts, | 3 (3%). | about what they | |
| research, written | | research, art | | need to improve. | Number of |
| work, artwork, | | education | | | technology- |
| and | | projects, design | December 2006 + May | As part of their | based courses |
| communication. | | work on | 2007 Fine Arts | sophomore | increased and |
| | | computers. | Sophomore Advancement | advancement | student access |
| | | 1 | Review Results: Total | review, VCD | to technology |
| | | In Visual | Advanced = 69 (87%); | students were | improved. |
| | | Communication | Total Probation = $7 (9\%)$; | given a topic on | • |
| | | s Students who | Total Denied = 3 (4%) | which to write a | Senior VCD |
| | | were put on | | brief essay. | students were |
| | | probation at the | [Note: December 2005 + | | more |
| | | early review | May 2006 Fine Arts | Early in | successful in |
| | | were given | Sophomore Advancement | sophomore year, | obtaining |
| | | written | Review Results: Total | VCD faculty will | jobs. Others |
| | | feedback | Advanced = 86 (90%); | spend more time | were more |
| | | identifying | Total Probation = $9(9\%)$; | teaching good | highly |
| | | luchthymg | 101a1 P100a11011 = 9 (9%); | teaching good | mgmy |

| in CC from the control of the contro | areas for improvement. Opportunities for ways to demonstrate improvement were identified for individual students. Probation students were assigned a faculty coach for advice for later reviews. | Total Denied = 0.] May 2008 VCD Sophomore Advance Review Results: 30 students participated: 28 students passed; 2 students denied. [Note: May 2007 VCD Sophomore Advancement Review Results: 28 students participated; 23 students participated; 23 students passed; 5 students denied. Note: May 2006 VCD Sophomore Advancement Review Results: 20 students passed 5 students on probation] Herron's 2007-08 Graduating Student Survey completed by 107 students. Herron's 2007-08 Graduating Student Survey (scores: 5 = strongly agree; 4 = agree; 3 = neutral or no opinion; 2 = disagree; 1 = strongly disagree) indicates that as a result of their Herron courses | research and writing skills. VCD students spent 6 weeks developing professional portfolios (digital and physical) based on personal objectives. Next year, all VCD students will be required to take a speech course. Students in senior VCD capstone studio (5 credit course) had weekly writing assignments. They were encouraged to use campus writing assistance resources as needed. Fine Arts students who received "Probation" at | motivated, and had a more realistic idea of the competition they will face in the job market. |
|--|--|--|--|---|
|--|--|--|--|---|

| | d activities, students | Sophomore |
|-----|--|------------------|
| inc | creased their: | Review are given |
| | Ability to express | directions for |
| | ideas effectively | upgrading their |
| | through writing, | performance and |
| | 3.67 out of 5 | a faculty mentor |
| | (was 3.84 in | is assigned. |
| | 2007 and 3.6 in | |
| | 2006) | |
| | Ability to express | |
| | ideas effectively | |
| | through | |
| | speaking, 3.95 | |
| | out of 5 (was | |
| | 4.12 in 2007 and | |
| | 3.93 in 2006) | |
| | Ability to listen | |
| | and comprehend, | |
| | 4.13 out of 5 | |
| | (was 4.21 in | |
| | 2007 and 4.04 in | |
| | 2006) | |
| | Curiosity and | |
| | desire to learn, | |
| | 4.45 out of 5 | |
| | (was 4.46 in | |
| | 2007 and 4.40 in | |
| | 2007 and 4.40 m 2006) | |
| | o Ability to utilize | |
| | computers and/or | |
| | | |
| | other technology to communicate, | |
| | | |
| | solve problems, | |
| | and/or conduct | |
| | research, 3.74 out | |

| | | | | of 5 (was 4.02 in 2007 and 3.80 in 2006) Average score (2008) = 3.99 OUT OF 5 Average score (2007)= 4.13 Average Score(2006) =3.95 | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| II. Think creatively to develop new approaches and solutions (PUL 2: Critical Thinking) | 1. Critique work accurately, especially students' own. 2. Analyze information and art/design work carefully, logically, and from multiple perspectives. (N) 3. Apply knowledge from multiple disciplines to new contexts to solve problems and enhance work. (N) | Large and small group discussions, critiques that expose students to varied perspectives, debates, presentations, internship & work experiences, research papers, field trips, and assigned readings. | Sophomore advancement review, senior capstone courses, surveys of students and alumni, demonstrations and presentations, papers, senior exhibition, assessment of artists' statements, observe students following directions, and operating equipment | Herron's 2006-07 Graduating Student Survey indicated an increase in their: O Ability to incorporate knowledge from several areas of study into learning situations and problem solving, 4.26 out of 5 (4.33 in 2007 and 4.20 in 2006) O Ability to view art from multiple perspectives, 4.45 out of 5 (was 4.5 in 2007 and 4.35 in 2006) | Visual Communication Design (VCD) faculty document projects of each student in each course. One professor is creating website for each student on which to archive assignments and artwork produced. This will provide means of further assessing outcomes at student, course, and program |

| | 1.0.0 | 0.1 1.1 | 1 1 11 | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| | 4. Critique | safely, critiques | and ability to | future these may |
| 1 | professional and | of teaching | assess the quality | be linked with |
| | research literature | performance | and effectiveness | campus electronic |
| | for | through | of works of art | portfolios. |
| | meaningfulness. | observation (art | and/or design, | |
| | | education) and | especially their | VCD capstone |
| | 5. Think | student teacher | own art/design | course included |
| | creatively about | feedback, and | work,4.47 out of | • multiple |
| | new ideas and | videotapes. | 4 (was 4.495 in | exercises from |
| | ways to improve | | 2007 and 4.36 in | the book "What |
| | existing things. | | 2006) | Color is Your |
| | | | | Parachute" |
| | 6. Find new ways | | Average score (2008) = | • |
| | to use skills and | | 4.38 OUT OF 5 | professional |
| | knowledge in new | | Average score (2007) = | resumes |
| | situation/problem | | 4.40 | • |
| ! | s. | | Average Score(2006) | readings on cover |
| | | | =4.30 | letters; effective |
| | 7. Learn new | | - 7.50 | job hunting |
| | approaches to | | Foundation curriculum | strategies; |
| | artwork or study. | | committee conducted | interview |
| | | | exhaustive studies of | strategies and |
| | 8. Research an | | other art/design curricula, | professional |
| | issue/topic before | | and established core | etiquette; |
| | planning a course | | objectives for Herron's | management; |
| | of action. | | curricula. Areas of most | strategies for |
| | | | need at freshman level | finding |
| | | | | alternative forms |
| | | | for Herron include: | of career |
| | | | Visual dynamics and | experience in |
| | | | design/gestalt | tough economic |
| | | | principles, space and | situations; as well |
| | | | form, internet | as effective |
| | | | research, idea | portfolio |
| | | | generation, cross- | development. |
| | | | disciplinary thinking | do relopinone. |

| | Ī | T | | | |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | | and experiences, and | •designin |
| | | | | consistency among | g professional |
| | | | | sections of courses. | portfolios |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | A revised |
| | | | | | curriculum for |
| | | | | | Foundation |
| | | | | | (freshman) |
| | | | | | Program is now |
| | | | | | in its 2 nd year of |
| | | | | | implementation |
| | | | | | (started fall |
| | | | | | 2005). Based on |
| | | | | | the finding of the |
| | | | | | Foundations |
| | | | | | Curriculum |
| | | | | | Committee, the |
| | | | | | committee |
| | | | | | proposed that a |
| | | | | | new curriculum |
| | | | | | be adopted. A |
| | | | | | proposal was |
| | | | | | made before the |
| | | | | | entire faculty |
| | | | | | body and was |
| | | | | | approved. |
| | | | | | approved. |
| | | | | | |
| III. Interrelate | 1. Apply | Internships | Senior capstone | Herron's 2006-07 | Further |
| art/design with | historical and | and work | course | Graduating Student | documenting |
| a variety of | stylistic | experience, | papers and | Survey indicates an | student work in a |
| disciplines. | knowledge to | class | projects, | increase in: | variety of |
| (PUL 3: | practice. (N) | discussion & | survey of | Ability to draw | situations and |
| Integration | | group | students and | parallels between | courses (e.g., |
| and | 2. Utilize research | presentations | alumni, | art and society, | digital images on |

| Application of | skills in solving | , lectures, | portfolio | | 4.26 out of 5 | CDs and | |
|----------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| Knowledge) | problems and | independent | review, sketch | | (was 4.40 in | websites, | |
| in the second | developing | study, | book, | | 2007 and 4.24 in | animated videos, | |
| | projects. (N) | slides and | art/design work, | | 2006) | hard copies of | |
| | 3. Apply | films, field | advancement | 0 | Developing a | design projects.) | |
| | knowledge from | trips, | reviews, | | personal artistic, | design projects.) | |
| | multiple | foundation | Graduating | | intellectual | | |
| | disciplines to new | program. | Student Survey. | | mission | | |
| | contexts. | program. | Student Survey. | | associated with | | |
| | | | Certain faculty | | art, design, art | | |
| | | | maintain | | history, and/or | | |
| | | | teaching | | education, 4.2 | | |
| | | | portfolios of | | out of 5(was | | |
| | | | working notes | | 4.35 in 2007 and | | |
| | | | and examples of | | 4.19 in 2006) | | |
| | | | student work in | 0 | Developing the | | |
| | | | the form of | | tools and | | |
| | | | slides. | | experience | | |
| | | | | | necessary to | | |
| | | | Informal | | obtain a job in | | |
| | | | conversation | | their field of | | |
| | | | and comparison | | study, 3.73 out of | | |
| | | | among section | | 5 (was 3.76 in | | |
| | | | and grade | | 2007 and 3.67 in | | |
| | | | levels. | | 2006) | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Avera | ge score (2008) = | | |
| | | | | | OUT OF 5 | | |
| | | | | | ge score (2007) = | | |
| | | | | 4.17 | gc score (2007) – | | |
| | | | | | sa Caama | | |
| | | | | (2006) | ge Score _4.04 | | |
| | | | | (2000) | - 4. U4 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| IV. | 1. Modify | Discussion, | Evaluation of | Herron's 2006-07 | Identified some | As VCD |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Demonstrate | approach to | research | written work, | Graduating Student | gaps and overlaps | faculty |
| substantial | issues/problems | papers, | student | Survey indicates an | when conducting | conducts |
| knowledge and | based on contexts | critiques, | feedback and | increase in: | "second looks," | "second |
| understanding | and requirements | written | surveys, | Ability to | and made | looks," their |
| of art, art | of particular | papers, field | independent | approach their | modifications in | enthusiasm |
| history, art | situations. | trips, | study, class | work | some VCD | and |
| education, | | lectures, | participation, | creatively,4.42 | courses and | commitment |
| and/or design. | 2. Respect and | internships, | evaluation of art | out of 5(was | activities as a | to the entire |
| (PUL 4: | evaluate work | exhibitions, | work, | 4.45 in 2007 and | result. | program |
| Intellectual | from a variety of | senior | student | 4.45 in 2006) | | increases. |
| Depth, | perspectives and | capstones, | reflection | Ability to | | This should |
| Breadth, and | disciplines. (N) | research, | exercises, | produce work | | translate to |
| Adaptiveness) | | journals, | senior capstone | that is successful | | students |
| | 3. Demonstrate | attendance at | courses (weekly | both technically | | through a |
| | conceptual | special | papers, | and aesthetically, | | stronger |
| | understanding of | events such | critiques, etc.), | 4.32 out of 5 | | curriculum |
| | components and | as visiting | senior | (was 4.34 in | | and enhanced |
| | processes integral | artists | exhibition and | 2007 and 4.40 in | | learning |
| | to work in the | lectures and | slides, portfolio | 2006) | | environments |
| | visual arts and | gallery | review, written | o Substantial | | for students. |
| | design. (N) | openings, | artists' | knowledge and | | |
| | | juried | statements. | understanding of | | |
| | 4. Produce work | student | | one field of art | | |
| | that is successful | exhibition, | Printmaking | and design, art | | |
| | both technically | guest | faculty review | history, and/or art | | |
| | and aesthetically. | speakers | the | education, 4.21 | | |
| | · · | from the | effectiveness of | out of 5 (was | | |
| | 5. Demonstrate | community. | teaching | 4.25 in 2007 and | | |
| | visual literacy, | | strategies and | 4.01 in 2006) | | |
| | including | | make | o Substantial | | |
| | nonverbal | | adjustments on | knowledge and | | |
| | languages of art | | an ongoing | understanding of | | |
| | or design. (N) | | basis. | MORE than one | | |
| | | | | field of art and | | |

| 6. Evaluate and | Printmaking | design, art | |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|
| discuss | colleagues show | history, and/or art | |
| contemporary | one another | education, 3.99 | |
| thinking about art. | work across the | out of 5 (was | |
| (N) | specialties and | 4.03 in 2007 and | |
| | from level to | 4.01 in 2006) | |
| 7. Accurately | level. | , | |
| assess the quality | | Average score in 2008 | |
| and effectiveness | Informal group | = 4.24 OUT OF 5 | |
| in works of art | critiques with | Average score in 2007 | |
| and/or design, | other invited | = 4.26 | |
| especially their | printmaking | | |
| own. (N) | faculty. | Average Score (2006) | |
| | | =4.25 | |
| 8. Develop | Art history | | |
| competence in | faculty | | |
| one or more forms | regularly | | |
| of art/ design | require | | |
| technique. (N) | substantial | | |
| | written research | | |
| 9. Describe major | papers, | | |
| achievements in | requiring | | |
| the history of | students to | | |
| art/design. (N) | demonstrate | | |
| | substantial | | |
| 10. Develop a | knowledge of | | |
| personal artistic/ | their selected | | |
| intellectual | research topic. | | |
| mission | | | |
| associated with | | | |
| art/ design. | | | |
| | | | |
| 11. Advocate for | | | |
| the arts. (N) | | | |
| | | | |

| V. Recognize, analyze and apply visual culture, and cultural traditions of self and others in artwork. (PUL 5: Understanding Society and Culture) | 1. Develop awareness of range of diversity in traditions and history of art/ design, and the interconnectednes s of local and global concerns. (N) 2. Explore and develop a personal approach to dealing with controversial subject matter. 3. Accept and learn from those who are different. | Explore controversial subject matter, discuss issues of censorship and free speech, explore social and political content, Encourage participation in campus cultural events, debates, lectures, team work, | Supervisor's feedback from internship or work experience, written assignments, grades, student and alumni surveys, instructor observation, class discussions, senior capstone courses, content of artwork. | Herron's 2006-07 Graduating Student Survey indicates an increase in: O Understanding of their own cultural traditions and the traditions of others, especially as they relate to art or design, 3.85 out of 5 (was 3.8 in 2007 and 3.72 in 2006) O Ability to accept and learn from people who are different than themselves, 4.15 out of 5 (was 4.19 in 2007 and | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | 3. Accept and learn from those | events, debates, lectures, | of artwork. | different than themselves, 4.15 out of 5 (was | |

| VI. Make | 1. Conduct self | Critiques | Senior capstone | Average Score(2006) =3.96 42 Herron students participated in school sponsored study abroad opportunities during 2007-08. Summer 2007: Italy: 15 students; Spring Break 2008: London: 12 students; Summer 2008: Greece: 15 students. | |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| informed | with civility | that expose | courses, grades | Graduating Student | |
| ethical | (courtesy). | students to | and course | Survey shows an | |
| decisions, and | • | varied | assignments, | increase in: | |
| develop and | 2. Maintain | perspectives, | feedback from | Ability to | |
| demonstrate | composure in | discussions | internship and | conduct myself | |
| underlying | difficult | and debates | work | with civility, 3.83 | |
| value system | situations. | emphasizing | supervisors, | out of 5 (3.81 in | |
| that influences | | pluralistic | sophomore | 2007 and 3.59 in | |
| judgment. | 3. Demonstrate | nature of | advancement | 2006) | |
| (PUL 6: Value | responsibility and | creation, | review, | o Ability to make | |
| and Ethics) | accountability. | including | discussions, | informed and | |
| | (N) | multicultural | observance of students' | ethical decisions, 3.92 out of 5 | |
| | 4. Define and | approaches to art, | interactions | 3.92 out of 5 (was 3.86 in | |
| | develop a | internships | with each other, | 2007 and 3.80 in | |
| | personal aesthetic | and work | completion of | 2007 and 3.80 m 2006) | |

| | direction. | experience, course projects, case studies, discuss larger issues of censorship and free speech, faculty members serve as role models, | artwork and assignments within time frames allotted, appropriate maintenance of work space, results of independent work. | o Accepting responsibility and accountability, 4 out of 5 (was 3.99 in 2007 and 3.93 in 2006) Average score in 2008 = 3.92 OUT OF 5 Average score in 2007 = 3.89 Average Score (2006) =3.77 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | explore controversial subjects. | | | | |
| VII. Develop the knowledge, tools and | 1. Develop job- seeking skills (resume, | Capstone courses, internships, | Internship placements, job placements, | Art education students develop effective teaching skills and | Beginning fall 2005, all VCD students will be | |
| experience | interviewing, | Saturday | capstone | resources as evidenced | required to | |
| necessary to work in | networking, etc.) | School teaching, | courses (resumes, | through portfolios, teaching videos, and | conduct an internship or | |
| art/design- | 2. Demonstrate | participating | weekly written | placement rate. | participate in a | |
| related fields | relevant | in gallery | papers, and | | professional | |
| and/or apply | experience. | openings, | photographs of | K401 photography | practice design | |
| these in | 2 Dayslan antist's | meeting with | artwork), | students continue to | course. This will further enhance | |
| multiple other professions. | 3. Develop artist's statement. | visiting artists, | alumni surveys, video tapes of | interact with working professionals. | students' civic | |
| professions. | Statement. | meeting with | student teaching | professionals. | engagement. | |
| | 4. Prepare | faculty and | (art ed | All students write an | ongagoment. | |
| | professional photo | advisors. | students), | artist statement for | | |
| | documentation or | | student artwork | sophomore advancement | | |

| portfolio. | New course | sales twice per | review, then further | |
|------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--|
| | created | year. | develop and refine the | |
| | Foundations | | statement in J410 | |
| | Capstone. | | capstone. | |
| | This course | | | |
| | serves to | | Sculpture students' work | |
| | better | | selected for display at | |
| | acclimate | | University Library. | |
| | students with | | (Commissioned 2002, | |
| | the abilities | | 2003, 2004 and 2006.) | |
| | needed for | | | |
| | continued | | | |
| | success as a | | | |
| | Herron | | | |
| | student. | | | |
| | Emphasis is | | | |
| | placed on | | | |
| | preparation | | | |
| | for | | | |
| | Sophomore | | | |
| | Advancemen | | | |
| | t Review and | | | |
| | exploring the | | | |
| | elements | | | |
| | necessary for | | | |
| | an effective | | | |
| | presentation | | | |
| | such as | | | |
| | verbal skills, | | | |
| | writing an | | | |
| | artist | | | |
| | statement | | | |
| | and properly | | | |
| | displaying | | | |
| | their work. | | | |

| Students are also introduced to "survival skills" such as crafting scholarship applications, utilizing university grants and exploring travel opportunities . Ceramics seniors learn to write an artist statement, take slides of their own 3D work and sixed by the statement in the |
|--|
| give slide lectures to faculty and peers. |