Herron School of Art and Design Annual Report for 2008 - 2009

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
I. Core	1. Write clearly and	Oral reports	Foundation F101	The assessment of	For Herron Themed	A more positive
Communication	effectively. (N)	and	capstone	Herron's Themed	Learning	environment was
and Quantitative	 Incorporate 	presentations,	presentations.	Learning Community	Community (TLC),	created for
Skills: students	art and design	group critiques,		(TLC) was largely	A new text, "Seeing	students when
demonstrate the	vocabulary and	individual	The sophomore	positive. Faculty	and Writing," has	they were given
ability to	concepts in writing.	consultations,	advancement	involved in the TLC felt	been adopted which	opportunities to
communicate		research,	review includes a	there needed to be a	will improve the link	improve during
observations and	2. Speak clearly and	journal writing,	verbal presentation	greater link between the	between courses.	the remainder of
analyses in a	effectively in groups	work mapping and other	and submission of	English Composition class and foundations	Also, an additional class will be added	the semester.
variety of media. (PUL 1)	and one-to-one. (N)		a written artist's statement from		to the TLC, 2D-	Students and faculty met more
(PUL I)	• Incorporate	processes in visual design,	each participant.	courses.	Design.	frequently
	art and design	written papers,	each participant.	Improved Visual	Design.	outside of class.
	vocabulary and concepts in speaking.	development of	Comparisons made	Communication Design	Visual	outside of class.
	concepts in speaking.	artists'	between fine arts	(VCD) rubric for	Communication	Advancement
	3. Comprehend,	statements	students' written	advancement review,	Design (VCD)	review
	interpret, and analyze	(philosophy of	artists' statements	first used in 2003-04, has	faculty developed	presentations by
	written text. (N)	personal work),	for the sophomore	been further developed	performance rubrics	VCD students
		teaching	advancement	for even greater	to use with	were more
	4. Formulate	Saturday	reviews and the	consistency in evaluating	sophomore	organized, and
	attitudes about art,	School,	final artists'	student readiness to	advancement	students were
	and defend views	feedback from	statements and	advance into the major.	review. Gave to	better prepared.
	effectively and	sophomore	weekly written		students at start of	They integrated
	rationally. (N)	advancement	assignments	Rubrics and teaching	semester to use in	their knowledge
	-	review.	submitted in the	portfolios used in Art	preparing for	in presentations,
	5. Perform		capstone courses,	Education demonstrate	advancement review	utilized
	quantitative functions		follow technical	communication PUL	portfolio	appropriate

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
	and analyses relevant to art and design. 6. Effectively utilize information technology for research, written work, artwork, and communication.		manuals and safety procedures, demonstrate math skills in proportioning and scaling imagery and other art and design contexts, research, art education projects, design work on computers. In Visual Communications Students who were put on probation at the early review were given written feedback identifying areas for improvement. Opportunities for ways to demonstrate improvement were identified for individual students. Probation students were assigned a faculty	achievement. VCD students continue to have some difficulty writing. December 2008 + May 2009 Fine Arts Sophomore Advancement Review: Total Advanced = 96 (86%); Total Probation = 12 (11%); Total Denied = 3 (3%) December 2007 + May 2008 Fine Arts Sophomore Advancement Review: Total Advanced = 97 Advanced (86%) ; Total Probation = 13 (11%); Total Denied = 3 (3%). December 2006 + May 2007 Fine Arts	 presentations. Each VCD student placed on probation in sophomore advancement review was assigned a faculty mentor and given written feedback about what they need to improve. As part of their sophomore advancement review, VCD students were given a topic on which to write a brief essay. Early in sophomore year, VCD faculty will spend more time teaching good research and writing skills. VCD students spent 6 weeks developing professional 	terminology, and cited their specific learning experiences. Number of technology- based courses increased and student access to technology improved. Senior VCD students were more successful in obtaining jobs. Others were more highly motivated, and had a more realistic idea of the competition they will face in the job market.

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
			coach for advice for later reviews.	Sophomore Advancement Review Results: Total Advanced = 69 (87%); Total Probation = 7 (9%); Total Denied = 3 (4%) May 2009 Visual Communication Design Sophomore Advance Results: 32 students participated: 31 students passed; 1 student denied. [Note: May 2008 VCD Sophomore Advance Review Results: 30 students participated: 28 students passed; 2 students denied. May 2007 VCD Sophomore Advancement Review Results: 28 students participated; 23 students passed; 5 students denied.]	portfolios (digital and physical) based on personal objectives. Next year, all VCD students will be required to take a speech course. Students in senior VCD capstone studio (5 credit course) had weekly writing assignments. They were encouraged to use campus writing assistance resources as needed. Fine Arts students who received "Probation" at Sophomore Review are given directions for upgrading their performance and a faculty mentor is assigned.	

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
				January 2009 + May 2009 Art Education Sophomore Review: 28 students participated: 17 students passed; 9 passed pending PPSTTest-Praxis I (must pass to apply to the school of ed); 2 students denied.		
				Herron's 2008-09 Graduating Student Survey completed by 122 students. Herron's 2008-09 Graduating Student Survey (scores: 5 = strongly agree; 4 = agree; 3 = neutral or no opinion; 2 = disagree; 1 = strongly disagree) indicates that as a result of their Herron courses and activities, students increased their: o Ability to		

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
				express ideas effectively through writing, 3.83 out of 5 (was 3.67 in 2008, 3.84 in 2007 and 3.6 in 2006) • Ability to express ideas effectively through speaking, 4.18 out of 5 (was 3.95 in 2008, 4.12 in 2007 and 3.93 in 2006) • Ability to listen and comprehend, 4.26 out of 5 (was 4.13 in 2008, 4.21 in 2007 and 4.04 in 2006) • Curiosity and desire to learn, 4.68 out of 5 (was 4.45 in 2008, 4.46 in 2007 and 4.40 in 2006)		

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
				 Ability to utilize computers and/or other technology to communicate, solve problems, and/or conduct research, 3.85 out of 5 (was 3.74 in 2008, 4.02 in 2007 and 3.80 in 2006) Average score (2009) = 4.16 Average score (2008) = 3.99 OUT OF 5 Average score (2007)= 4.13 Average Score(2006) = 3.95 		
II. Think creatively to develop new	1. Critique work accurately, especially students' own.	Large and small group discussions,	Sophomore advancement review, senior	Herron's 2008-09 Graduating Student Survey indicated an	Visual Communication Design (VCD)	
approaches and solutions (PUL 2: Critical Thinking)	2. Analyze information and art/design work carefully, logically,	critiques that expose students to varied perspectives, debates,	capstone courses, surveys of students and alumni, demonstrations and presentations,	increase in their: O Ability to incorporate knowledge from several areas of	faculty document projects of each student in each course. One professor is creating	

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?		What are the sment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
	 and from multiple perspectives. (N) 3. Apply knowledge from multiple disciplines to new contexts to solve problems and enhance work. (N) 4. Critique professional and research literature for meaningfulness. 5. Think creatively about new ideas and ways to improve existing things. 6. Find new ways to use skills and knowledge in new situation/problems. 7. Learn new approaches to artwork or study. 8. Research an issue/topic before 	presentations, internship & work experiences, research papers, field trips, and assigned readings.	papers, senior exhibition, assessment of artists' statements, observe students following directions, and operating equipment properly and safely, critiques of teaching performance through observation (art education) and student teacher feedback, and videotapes.	0	study into learning situations and problem solving, 4.49 out of 5 (4.26 in 2008, 4.33 in 2007 and 4.20 in 2006) Ability to view art from multiple perspectives, 4.62 out of 5 (was 4.45 in 2008, 4.5 in 2007) and 4.35 in 2007) Increased my ability to critique professional and research literature for meaningfulness (4.50 out of 5 in 2009 Visual literacy and ability to assess the quality and effectiveness of works of art and/or design, especially their own art/design	website for each student on which to archive assignments and artwork produced. This will provide means of further assessing outcomes at student, course, and program levels. In the future these may be linked with campus electronic portfolios. VCD capstone course included • multiple exercises from the book "What Color is Your Parachute" • professional resumes • readings on cover letters; effective job hunting strategies; interview strategies and professional etiquette; management; strategies for finding	

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
	planning a course of action.			work,4.67 out of 5 (was 4.47 in 2008, 4.495 in 2007 and 4.36 in 2006)Average score (2009)= 4.57 out of 5 Average score (2008)= 4.38 OUT OF 5 Average score (2007)= 4.40 Average Score(2006)= 4.30Foundation curriculum committee conducted exhaustive studies of other art/design curricula, and established core objectives for Herron's curricula. Areas of most need at freshman level for Herron include: Visual dynamics and design/gestalt principles, space and form, internet research, idea generation, cross- disciplinary thinking	alternative forms of career experience in tough economic situations; as well as effective portfolio development. •designing professional portfolios A revised curriculum for Foundation (freshman) Program is now in its 2 nd year of implementation (started fall 2005). Based on the finding of the Foundations Curriculum Committee, the committee, the committee proposed that a new curriculum be adopted. A proposal was made before the entire faculty body and was approved.	

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
				and experiences, and consistency among sections of courses.		
III. Interrelate art/design with a variety of disciplines. (PUL 3: Integration and Application of Knowledge)	 Apply historical and stylistic knowledge to practice. (N) Utilize research skills in solving problems and developing projects. (N) Apply knowledge from multiple disciplines to new contexts. 	Internships and work experience, class discussion & group presentations, lectures, independent study, slides and films, field trips, foundation program.	Senior capstone course papers and projects, survey of students and alumni, portfolio review, sketch book, art/design work, advancement reviews, Graduating Student Survey. Certain faculty maintain teaching portfolios of working notes and examples of student work in the form of slides. Informal conversation and comparison among section and grade levels.	Herron's 2008-09 Graduating Student Survey indicates an increase in: Ability to draw parallels between art and society, 4.62 out of 5 (was 4.26 in 2008, 4.40 in 2007 and 4.24 in 2006) Developing a personal artistic, intellectual mission associated with art, design, art history, and/or education, 4.58 out of 5(was 4.2 in 2008, 4.35 in 2007 and 4.19 in 2006) Developing the tools and experience 	Further documenting student work in a variety of situations and courses (e.g., digital images on CDs and websites, animated videos, hard copies of design projects.)	

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
				necessary to obtain a job in their field of study, 3.91 out of 5 (was 3.73 in 2008, 3.76 in 2007 and 3.67 in 2006) Average score (2009) = 4.37 Average score (2008) = 4.06 OUT OF 5 Average score (2007) = 4.17 Average Score (2006)=4.04		
IV. Demonstrate substantial knowledge and understanding of art, art history, art education, and/or design. (PUL 4:	 Modify approach to issues/problems based on contexts and requirements of particular situations. Respect and evaluate work from a 	Discussion, research papers, critiques, written papers, field trips, lectures, internships,	Evaluation of written work, student feedback and surveys, independent study, class participation, evaluation of art work,	Herron's 2006-07 Graduating Student Survey indicates an increase in: • Ability to approach their work creatively, 4.72 out of 5(Identified some gaps and overlaps when conducting "second looks," and made modifications in some VCD courses and activities as a result.	As VCD faculty conducts "second looks," their enthusiasm and commitment to the entire program continues to
Intellectual Depth, Breadth, and	variety of perspectives and disciplines. (N)	exhibitions, senior capstones,	student reflection exercises, senior capstone courses	was 4.42 in 2008, 4.45 in 2007 and 4.45 in		increase. This should continue to translate to

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
Adaptiveness)	 3. Demonstrate conceptual understanding of components and processes integral to work in the visual arts and design. (N) 4. Produce work that is successful both technically and aesthetically. 5. Demonstrate visual literacy, including nonverbal languages of art or design. (N) 6. Evaluate and discuss contemporary thinking about art. (N) 7. Accurately assess the quality and effectiveness in works of art and/or design, especially their own. (N) 	research, journals, attendance at special events such as visiting artists lectures and gallery openings, juried student exhibition, guest speakers from the community.	(weekly papers, critiques, etc.), senior exhibition and slides, portfolio review, written artists' statements. Printmaking faculty review the effectiveness of teaching strategies and make adjustments on an ongoing basis. Printmaking colleagues show one another work across the specialties and from level to level. Informal group critiques with other invited printmaking faculty. Art history faculty regularly require	 2006) Ability to produce work that is successful both technically and aesthetically, 4.57 out of 5 (was 4.32 in 2008, 4.34 in 2007 and 4.40 in 2006) Substantial knowledge and understanding of one field of art and design, art history, and/or art education, 4.48 out of 5 (was 4.21 in 2008, 4.25 in 2007 and 4.01 in 2006) Substantial knowledge and understanding of MORE than one field of art and design, art history, and/or art education, 4.10 in 2006) 		students through a stronger curriculum and enhanced learning environments for students.

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
	 8. Develop competence in one or more forms of art/ design technique. (N) 9. Describe major achievements in the history of art/design. (N) 10. Develop a personal artistic/ intellectual mission associated with art/ design. 11. Advocate for the arts. (N) 		substantial written research papers, requiring students to demonstrate substantial knowledge of their selected research topic.	4.35 out of 5 (was 3.99 in 2008, 4.03 in 2007 and 4.01 in 2006) Average score in 2009 = 4.53 OUT OF 5 Average score in 2008 = 4.24 OUT OF 5 Average score in 2007 = 4.26 Average Score (2006) =4.25		
V. Recognize, analyze and apply visual culture, and cultural traditions of self and others in artwork. (PUL 5:	1. Develop awareness of range of diversity in traditions and history of art/ design, and the interconnectedness of local and global concerns. (N)	Explore controversial subject matter, discuss issues of censorship and free speech, explore social and	Supervisor's feedback from internship or work experience, written assignments, grades, student and alumni surveys, instructor	Herron's 2008-09 Graduating Student Survey indicates an increase in: O Understanding of their own cultural traditions and the		
Understanding Society and	2. Explore and	political content,	observation, class discussions, senior	traditions of others, especially		

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
Culture)	develop a personal approach to dealing with controversial subject matter. 3. Accept and learn from those who are different. (N) 4. Draw parallels between art and society.	Encourage participation in campus cultural events, debates, lectures, team work, group work, readings, international study, critiques, internships, visiting artists.	capstone courses, content of artwork.	as they relate to art or design, 3.91 out of 5 (was 3.85 in 2008, 3.8 in $2007and 3.72 in 2006)• Ability to acceptand learn frompeople who aredifferent thanthemselves, 4.21out of 5 (was4.15$ in 2008 , 4.19 in 2007 and 4.01 in 2006) • Appreciation for diversity in people, ideas and approaches, 4.33 out of 5 (was 4.09 in 2008 , 4.30 in 2007 and 4.01 in 2008 , 4.30 in 2007 and 4.01 in 2008 , 4.30 in 2007 and 4.01 in 20084.30 OUT OF 5 Average score in 2008 = 4.03 OUT OF 5 Average score in 2007 = 4.1 <i>Average Score</i> (2006)		

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
				=3.96 32 Herron students participated in school sponsored study abroad opportunities during 2008-2009. Greece Summer 2008: 15 students; Italy Summer 2009: 17 students.		
VI. Make informed ethical decisions, and develop and demonstrate underlying value system that influences judgment. (PUL 6: Value and Ethics)	 Conduct self with civility (courtesy). Maintain composure in difficult situations. Demonstrate responsibility and accountability. (N) Define and develop a personal aesthetic direction. 	Critiques that expose students to varied perspectives, discussions and debates emphasizing pluralistic nature of creation, including multicultural approaches to art, internships and work experience,	Senior capstone courses, grades and course assignments, feedback from internship and work supervisors, sophomore advancement review, discussions, observance of students' interactions with each other, completion of	Herron's 2008-09 Graduating Student Survey shows an increase in: • Ability to make informed and ethical decisions, 3.97 out of 5 (was 3.92 in 2008, 3.86 in 2007 and 3.80 in 2006) Average score in 2009 = 3.97 Average score in 2008 =		

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
		course projects, case studies, discuss larger issues of censorship and free speech, faculty members serve as role models, explore controversial subjects.	artwork and assignments within time frames allotted, appropriate maintenance of work space, results of independent work.	3.92 OUT OF 5 Average score in 2007 = 3.89 Average Score (2006) =3.77		
VII. Develop the knowledge, tools and experience necessary to work in art/design- related fields and/or apply these in multiple other professions.	 Develop job- seeking skills (resume, interviewing, networking, etc.) Demonstrate relevant experience. Develop artist's statement. Prepare professional photo documentation or portfolio. 	Capstone courses, internships, Saturday School teaching, participating in gallery openings, meeting with visiting artists, meeting with faculty and advisors. New course created	Internship placements, job placements, capstone courses (resumes, weekly written papers, and photographs of artwork), alumni surveys, video tapes of student teaching (art ed students), student artwork sales twice per year.	Art education students develop effective teaching skills and resources as evidenced through portfolios, teaching videos, and placement rate. K401 photography students continue to interact with working professionals. All students write an artist statement for sophomore advancement review, then further	Beginning fall 2005, all VCD students will be required to conduct an internship or participate in a professional practice design course. This will further enhance students' civic engagement.	

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
		Foundations		develop and refine the		
		Capstone.		statement in J410		
		This course		capstone.		
		serves to better				
		acclimate		Sculpture students' work		
		students with		selected for display at		
		the abilities		University Library.		
		needed for		(Commissioned 2002,		
		continued		2003, 2004 and 2006.)		
		success as a				
		Herron student.				
		Emphasis is				
		placed on				
		preparation for				
		Sophomore				
		Advancement				
		Review and				
		exploring the				
		elements				
		necessary for an effective				
		presentation				
		such as verbal				
		skills, writing				
		an artist				
		statement and				
		properly				
		displaying their				
		work. Students				
		are also				
		introduced to				

What general outcome are you seeking?	What will students know and be able to do upon graduation? (N = NASAD standards)	How will students learn these things (in or out of class)?	How do we measure and provide evidence for each of the desired outcomes listed in #2?	What are the assessment findings?	What improvements have been made based on assessment findings?	What are the results of improvements made?
		"survival skills" such as crafting scholarship applications, utilizing university grants and exploring travel opportunities. Ceramics				
		seniors learn to write an artist's statement, take slides of their own 3D work and give slide lectures to faculty and peers.				